

# KNOX COUNTY COMMISSION

**Special Meeting**

**Tuesday - December 30, 2008 - 9:00 a.m.**

A special meeting of the Knox County Commission was held on Tuesday, December 30, 2008, at 9:00 a.m., at the county courthouse, 62 Union Street, Rockland, Maine.

Commission members present were: Anne Beebe-Center, Commissioner District #1, A. Mason Johnson, Jr., Commissioner District #2, and Lawrence F. Nash, Commissioner District #3. County staff present included: County Administrator Andrew Hart, Administrative Assistant Candice Richards, IT Assistant Mike Dean, Airport Manager Jeff Northgraves, Sheriff Donna Dennison, Chief Deputy Ernie McIntosh, Administrative Assistant Linda Lasko-Hall, and Jail Administrator John Hinkley.

Also present were: Commissioner-elect Roger Moody from Camden; Commissioner-elect Rick Parent from Warren; John Fancy from Appleton; Donald Burke, selectman from the Town of Appleton; Melanie Ripley, Assistant Assessor's Agent for the Town of Appleton; George Chappell from the *Bangor Daily News*; and Kim Lincoln from the *Herald Gazette*.

## **Special Meeting – Agenda Tuesday – December 30, 2008 – 9:00 a.m.**

### **I. 9:00 Meeting Called To Order**

### **II. 9:01 Action Items**

1. Act on Decision of Tax Abatement Appeal: John and Diane Fancy vs. Appleton Board of Assessors.
2. Act on Approval of Purchase and Sale Agreement for Boomer.
3. Authorize County Administrator to Sign Contract with Northeast Mechanical Corp.
4. Act on Authorization for Tax Anticipation Note (TAN) (K. Robinson).
5. Authorize County Administrator to Sign Contract Between North Haven and Knox County for Sheriff's Deputy Services.
6. Act on Approval of the Burial Rights Easement for the Owls Head Cemetery at the Knox County Regional Airport (J. Northgraves, A. Hart).
7. Act on Approval of the Work Plan for the Memorandum of Understanding between Knox County and the Penobscot Bay YMCA/Knox County Community Health Coalition.
8. Responsibility of the County Administrator for Personnel Decisions (i.e. Hiring and Discipline Including Termination Pursuant to the County Charter).
9. Approve Changing Due Date of Dispatch Fees for 2009.

### **III. 9:40 Discussion Items**

1. Mileage Reimbursement and the Knox County Personnel Policy.

### **IV. 10:00 Adjourn**

### **I. Meeting Called to Order**

Commission Chair Mason Johnson called the December 30, 2008 special meeting of the Knox County Commission to order at 9:00 a.m.

Commissioner Mason Johnson stated that there was no Public Comment time built into the agenda but asked if anyone wanted an opportunity to speak.

Sheriff Donna Dennison made the following statement:

“The North Haven contract is on your agenda for today. I’ve had no input on this contract. There have been some issues in the past with the island and I’d like to have some input on the contract before you people agree to it. Such issues, such as the deputy last summer had to sleep in his vehicle because they didn’t provide a... they were supposed to provide housing so they breached their contract and he’s been treated like a second-rate citizen over there. I just want you people to understand that.”

Commissioner Mason Johnson asked Sheriff Dennison if this was information that she had not been made aware of.

Sheriff Donna Dennison stated that she has not seen the contents of the new contract.

Commissioner Mason Johnson asked County Administrator Andrew Hart if he wanted to respond.

County Administrator Andrew Hart stated that he would comment on the subject when the agenda item is discussed.

## II. Action Items

### 1. Act on Decision of Tax Abatement Appeal: John and Diane Fancy vs. Appleton Board of Assessors.

Commissioner Mason Johnson asked John Fancy and the representatives from the Town of Appleton to come down front. He asked the other members of the Commission if there were any questions for Mr. Fancy or the representatives from the Town of Appleton.

Commissioner Lawrence Nash stated that on the town map presented that there is a piece cut out of the Fancy's property that was deeded to his son.

John Fancy stated that this was correct.

Commissioner Lawrence Nash asked Mr. Fancy if the reason that the land is connected to his own was because Mr. Fancy had reserved a little track of land is so that he could get from one part to another.

Mr. Fancy stated that his son wanted a piece of land in the middle of the property.

Commissioner Lawrence Nash asked if the 35% factor was applied to the entire neighborhood or only to Mr. Fancy's land.

Mr. Fancy replied that it was applied to most of the lots on the Jones Hill Road. He stated that there were a couple that it was not applied to, and he assumed that this was an oversight of the town.

Commissioner Mason Johnson stated that this is one concern that he had as well. He stated that he wanted to know if the 35% was applied to everyone because they should all come out with the same value of increase. The issue is if everybody was treated the same.

Mr. Fancy said that his contention is not that he was equally assessed on the Jones Hill Road, but that the assessment itself was incorrect. It was not based on sales. If you look at the spreadsheet provided by the Town of Appleton, which is the same information Mr. Fancy had been trying to get from the town for almost a year, there are seven sales that are reported to be the basis for the extra location adjustment. Of the seven sales on the list, sales one, two, four, and seven are actually all the same lot and are commercial sales between developers. The land is a bridge between Jones Hill Road and the Hope town line. The developer owns property in Hope that is adjacent to this property. This use of the land is not a typical open market sale and is not representative of what the land value would be on Jones Hill Road. The developer had another purpose in another town and had bought the property on Jones Hill Road to be able to build a road to their adjacent property. Mr. Fancy stated that he did not feel that these sales could be used as indication of the value of land on Jones Hill Road. The third sale, which is a very small parcel of land, sold for \$15,000.00 and the Town of Appleton had the property assessed at \$21,800.00. The property was not sold in excess of the town valuation. The fifth and sixth sales are actually the same parcel of land. One sale is the house and lot in front and the other sale is the land behind the house. The house and land in front of the house sold for \$241,850.00 and valuation was \$219,000.00. The land behind the house sold for \$26,850.00 and was valued at \$46,850.00 by the town. Mr. Fancy stated that if these are the sales that the town used to put a location adjustment on Jones Hill Road, he did not feel that these sales justify the 35% increase in land value.

Donald Burke stated that after the last Commission meeting, he had gone back to the town office to check on some information. He stated that he believed that Mr. Fancy was saying that his own property was improperly assessed and not everybody else's on Jones Hill Road. He stated that he found that only one lot (#6 on the list of sales) that was not assessed a location adjustment. The previous assessor had left a note that this would need to be looked at in 2009. Mr. Burke stated that he was sure that property would also have the location adjustment made to it. He stated that the location factor using a neighborhood factor is legitimate. He stated that this is taught by the Bureau of Taxation in their basic Tax Assessment course. The location factor is uniformly used. Mr. Burke stated that the Maine Constitution requires that Maine taxes be assessed equally and that he felt that the Town of Appleton was doing this. Other neighborhoods also have a location or neighborhood adjustment factor.

Commissioner Anne Beebe-Center asked if the adjustment factor needed to be based on sales.

Mr. Burke stated that he believed that when the adjustment was done it was done by sales. He held up the list of sales and asked who had prepared it.

Mr. Fancy pointed out that it was Mr. Burke who had handed it out at the last meeting. The list of sales had come from the town.

Mr. Burke stated that the list is what the ladies in his office would have prepared but that he was not 100% sure that it was all the information that the assessors used when the revaluation was done. He added that he could not state for a fact that the assessor's agent did not have more information.

Commissioner Anne Beebe-Center stated that the sales are what prompted the revaluation. She asked if Mr. Burke was saying that the list of sales on Jones Hill Road was incomplete.

Mr. Burke stated that he was just saying that the assessor's agent that the Town of Appleton had at the time may have had more information. That assessor's agent is no longer employed by Appleton. He added that to answer Commissioner Beebe-Center's question, he would have to say yes.

Commissioner Anne Beebe-Center stated that four of the sales were to developers and two other sales were below town valuation.

Mr. Burke stated that this was the information that Mr. Fancy had researched.

Commissioner Mason Johnson asked if the Commission had any further questions.

Commissioner Lawrence Nash asked Mr. Fancy when he had given part of his land to his son.

Mr. Fancy stated that it was a couple of years ago.

Commissioner Lawrence Nash asked if it was before or after the revaluation.

Mr. Burke stated that the revaluation was completed and accepted by the Selectmen on August 29, 2006.

Mr. Fancy stated that the property was given to son in June of 2006.

Commissioner Mason Johnson asked Mr. Fancy if he believed that it was an error that created a difference in valuation rather than procedure.

Mr. Fancy stated that he felt that it was an error because they used data that is not usable in a comparison valuation.

Commissioner Anne Beebe-Center asked if Mr. Fancy was saying that the valuation was a mistake because the justification is based on the seven sales listed by the town.

Mr. Fancy stated that he felt that there were not sufficient sales to justify a 35% value increase on the properties on Jones Hill Road.

Commissioner Mason Johnson asked if there was any reasonable adjustment that might be arrived at. Instead of 35%, what if the town used a different percentage?

Mr. Fancy responded that he had thought about this and wondered how the town decided on the 35%. He stated that another section of town also had a 35% increase on their land. He asked if this number was arbitrarily arrived at or is it a number that can be justified by percentage increases in sales. He did not think it was justifiable.

Commissioner Mason Johnson asked if a different percentage may be more appropriate for the property.

Commissioner Anne Beebe-Center asked where the 35% percent came from.

Mr. Burke stated that the 35% was the neighborhood location adjustment assigned by the town's assessor's agent.

Commissioner Anne Beebe-Center asked why the increase was 35% and not some other amount.

Mr. Burke stated that all percentage increases end in a five. For example, George's River had 1.5, Medomak River frontage had 1.25, and Appleton Ridge had 1.35. He stated that he was unable to answer the question.

Commissioner Mason Johnson how the number 1.35 is related to 35%.

Mr. Burke asked where Commissioner Johnson was getting the number 1.35 from.

Commissioner Mason Johnson stated that it was on a letter written by Mr. Burke to Mr. Fancy, dated October 14, 2008. He read aloud the first paragraph of the letter.

*“Dear Mr. Fancy, thank you for meeting with us recently to discuss your request for an abatement. Your total land value, including base lot and excess acres, had a value of \$54,700.00 multiplied by the location adjustment of 1.35, for a total land value of \$73,850.00.”*

Mr. Burke stated that the 1.35 is the location adjustment that had been assigned to that road during the revaluation.

Commissioner Mason Johnson asked how the 1.35 turns into 35%.

Mr. Burke stated that 35% was added to the base value of \$54,700.00.

Commissioner Anne Beebe-Center asked if each road was assigned a different percentage.

Mr. Burke responded in the affirmative and stated that if Mr. Fancy's land was in the Fish Town section of Burkettville, his valuation would have remained at \$54,700.00. The location factor on Mr. Fancy's road put it up to \$73,850.00. Some neighborhoods had a location adjustment of 1.25 or 1.35. The different percentages were based on sales.

- A motion was made by Commissioner Lawrence Nash to grant the abatement request of \$19,145.00 of valuation on the land to John and Diane Fancy. The motion was seconded by Commissioner Anne Beebe-Center.

Commissioner Lawrence Nash stated that he felt that the sales at the end of the road were inflated due to the desire to give one property access to another. He stated that he also felt that the sale of the Mirabile land was a low sale. He added that he would take both of those sets of sales and throw them out. He stated that he found a property of approximately ten acres, the John Williams lot, with a valuation of \$46,850.00, even though it does not have the frontage. He stated that he also felt that if the revaluation had taken place after Mr. Fancy had deeded out part of his property to his son, that piece of land would not have impacted his valuation.

- A vote was taken with all in favor.

Mr. Burke asked for the amount of the abatement.

Commissioner Lawrence Nash reminded Mr. Burke that the Fancys had requested an abatement of \$19,145.00 on the valuation on the land only, and not on the house.

2. Act on Approval of Purchase and Sale Agreement for Boomer.

County Administrator Andrew Hart stated that at the Regular Commission meeting on December 9<sup>th</sup>, the Commission had discussed a Purchase and Sale agreement for selling the drug-sniffing dog Boomer to former Deputy Danielle Welch. The Commission authorized the county administrator to negotiate an agreement with Ms. Welch. Administrator Hart stated that the agreement was emailed to Ms. Welch but that he has received no response yet. The sale price negotiated is \$500 paid by Ms. Welch to the County. Administrator Hart read aloud Item #4 of the agreement:

*“Buyer acknowledges and represents that the Dog will never be used for police work or for any purpose associated with the Dog's prior police work, including, without limitation, tracking or detecting individuals or drugs. Buyer agrees that, should the dog ever be used for police work, police-type work, or any activity related to such work, then the Buyer shall immediately be liable to Seller for the additional sum of \$4,000.00, which represents the difference between the original cost of the Dog to the Seller, less Buyer's payment as set forth in Section 3.”*

Commissioner Anne Beebe-Center asked if Ms. Welch had any problems with the agreement.

County Administrator Andrew Hart stated that he had talked with her about the dollar amount and that she was fine with that. He stated that he had also mentioned to her about putting language in the agreement stating that if the dog was used for police work again, Ms. Welch would be liable for the rest of the amount that the County had paid to the Rockport Police Department for the dog.

Commissioner Anne Beebe-Center asked if Ms. Welch understood why this language was being put into the agreement.

County Administrator Andrew Hart answered in the affirmative. He stated that there is a medical issue with the dog so he should not be used for police work anymore, but it was possible that further on down the road the dog could regain his health.

Commissioner Lawrence Nash asked if the equipment would stay with the County.

County Administrator Andrew Hart stated that the County purchased the dog itself, and not the equipment. The equipment was donated to Ms. Welch and it belongs to her.

Commissioner Anne Beebe-Center stated that her understanding was that the County was going to do a swap of the dog for the equipment instead of Ms. Welch having to coming up with money to pay the County for the dog.

County Administrator Andrew Hart stated that Ms. Welch knows the dollar amount in the agreement and was fine with it. He stated that the equipment in her possession was hers, and that she did not have to trade it to the County. He added that he had no idea of what the dollar value amount of the equipment would be.

Commissioner Mason Johnson asked how it would be possible make a motion if Ms. Welch had not responded to the administrator to accept or reject the agreement. He stated that the Commission should set a time limit in the motion so that Ms. Welch would need to accept the agreement in so many days. He suggested a limit of ten days.

Commissioner Lawrence Nash stated that he would have suggested fifteen days.

Commissioner Anne Beebe-Center suggested giving Ms. Welch until the next regular Commission meeting in January.

Commissioner Mason Johnson stated that he believed that ten days was enough time.

- A motion was made by Commissioner Lawrence Nash to approve the purchase and sale agreement as presented if accepted by Danielle Welch within ten days. The motion was seconded by Commissioner Anne Beebe-Center.

Commissioner Lawrence Nash asked where the dog is now.

County Administrator Andrew Hart stated that he has been with Ms. Welch ever since she resigned from the Sheriff's Office.

- A vote was taken with all in favor.

3. Authorize County Administrator to Sign Contract with Northeast Mechanical Corporation.

County Administrator Andrew Hart stated that this is similar to last year's contract. At that time, the Commission authorized the previous county administrator, Bill Post, to sign the contract renewal. The contract is for the 2009 year. It is a service contract for the coolers, a freezer and the two Smith Boilers at the Jail.

Commissioner Anne Beebe-Center asked if the County had gone out to bid on this a couple years ago.

Jail Administrator John Hinkley stated that this was correct but that Northeast Mechanical was the only one to bid. He added that he had also checked with a couple other agencies this year and they were not what the County needed.

- A motion was made by Commissioner Anne Beebe-Center to accept the contract with Northeast Mechanical Corporation as presented. The motion was seconded by Commissioner Lawrence Nash. A vote was taken with all in favor.

4. Act on Authorization for Tax Anticipation Note (TAN).

Commissioner Mason Johnson asked if the bid was already accepted.

County Administrator Andrew Hart replied in the negative, and stated that the bids were opened on Friday, December 26<sup>th</sup> at 10 a.m. There were six bid notices sent out, and only five of the banks bid. Bar Harbor Savings Bank was the lowest bid.

Commissioner Anne Beebe-Center asked how the Bar Harbor Savings Bank bid could be so low.

County Administrator Andrew Hart stated that he did not know. He added that he was pretty shocked when the bids were opened because the rest of the bids were all pretty close together.

Commissioner Mason Johnson stated that he had never heard of the TAN percentage being offered that low. He stated he even wondered if it was legitimate and correct.

Commissioner Anne Beebe-Center stated that the County better take it while we can get it.

County Administrator Andrew Hart stated that the reason why this is on the agenda is so that the Commission can approve the bid amount. The bond paperwork, once it is ready, will come back to the Commission at the next Regular meeting on January 13<sup>th</sup>.

- A motion was made by Commissioner Anne Beebe-Center to accept Bar Harbor Savings Bank Bid for the Tax Anticipation Note at an interest rate of 1.39%. The motion was seconded by Commissioner Lawrence Nash. A vote was taken with all in favor.

5. Authorize County Administrator to Sign Contract Between North Haven and Knox County for Sheriff's Deputy Services.

Commissioner Mason Johnson stated that the sheriff had already brought up the topic.

County Administrator Andrew Hart stated that the sheriff had sent an email to him the day before. He was in Bangor but did read and respond to it. He stated that he does agree with the sheriff's concerns. He stated that he had planned to ask the Commission to table this agenda item. Administrator Hart stated that he had been working with Joe Stone on the contract but Mr. Stone has been out during the holidays. Administrator Hart stated that initially what they had done was make wording corrections to the existing contract. An insurance section was added to make it consistent with the Vinalhaven contract. There are several sections in there that need to be made more consistent throughout the contract. He stated that he had spoken to Joe Stone since he returned from vacation. Mr. Stone had thought it would make sense for county administrator and the sheriff to go out to the island to speak to the Selectmen about the contract. Administrator Hart stated that he did not know if that could be done in time for the January 13<sup>th</sup> meeting because of the weather and how that impacts the ferry.

Commissioner Anne Beebe-Center asked if Administrator Hart was asking for a motion to table the agenda item.

County Administrator Andrew Hart answered in the affirmative. He stated that the main reason for putting it on the agenda was to make the Commission aware of the issues of the contract because the contract runs out on December 31<sup>st</sup>.

- A motion was made by Commissioner Anne Beebe-Center to table the renewal of the contract until after the sheriff and the county administrator can meet with the North Haven Selectmen. The motion was seconded by Commissioner Lawrence Nash. A vote was taken with all in favor.

6. Act on Approval of the Burial Rights Easement for the Owls Head Cemetery at the Knox County Regional Airport (J. Northgraves, A. Hart).

Airport Manager Jeff Northgraves stated that the Burial Rights Easement has been in the hands of the lawyers for both sides for quite a while now. He stated that he recommend approval because both sets of lawyers are happy with the wording contained in the Easement as it is currently written.

Commissioner Anne Beebe-Center stated that this was being worked on when she first became a commissioner six years ago. She asked if every lease has to be approved.

Airport Manager Jeff Northgraves stated that each lease did not need to be approved by the Commission. Once this agreement is done, it will not have to be brought before the Commission each time.

County Administrator Andrew Hart stated that in 2007 this came before the Commission and the Commission authorized the county administrator to sign it. He would like the Commission to authorize him to sign it. If the newest version is approved by the Commission, then it will go to the Town of Owls Head for approval. The Town of Owls Head wants their treasurer to be the one to sign it because the treasurer is someone who is in the office on a regular basis. The easement will be approved at a town meeting so it may be another six months before it is approved. Fred Newcomb is the legal counsel for Owls Head.

- A motion was made by Commissioner Anne Beebe-Center to approve the Burial Rights Easement for Owls Head as presented. The motion was seconded by Commissioner Lawrence Nash. A vote was taken with all in favor.

7. Act on Approval of the Work Plan for the Memorandum of Understanding between Knox County and the Penobscot Bay YMCA/Knox County Community Health Coalition.

- A motion was made by Commissioner Anne Beebe-Center to approve the work plan. The motion was seconded by Commissioner Lawrence Nash. A vote was taken with all in favor.

8. Responsibility of the County Administrator for Personnel Decisions (i.e. Hiring and Discipline Including Termination Pursuant to the County Charter).

Commissioner Lawrence Nash stated that he feels that he has stated his position on this. It is quite clear and is recorded in the minutes from previous Commission meetings. The county administrator is chief officer for the County. He stated that to him, the Charter is the document that we live by and he expects it to be enforced and honored. He added that he did not think any motions were needed. He asked if this was just supposed to be a discussion.

Commissioner Mason Johnson stated that he did not think that a motion would change anything. The charter is being interpreted differently by different people.

Commissioner Lawrence Nash stated that the issue will probably play itself out. The two new commissioners will take office at the first of the year and they may have their own take on the subject.

Commissioner Anne Beebe-Center stated that it is important to realize that the Charter was reviewed by lawyers. The primary review was to make sure that the Charter was not in conflict with State law or the Constitution of the State of Maine. It was the only way the Charter could have passed or become a legal document. Article III, Section 1 of the County Charter states:

*“All powers of the County shall be vested in and exercised by the County Commission, except as otherwise provided by law or this Charter. The County Commission shall provide for the performance of all duties imposed on the County by law or this Charter.”*

The County Charter also states, in Article IV, Section 2:

*“The County Administrator is the chief administrative official of the County and is responsible for the administration of all departments and offices controlled by the Commissioner.”*

Commissioner Anne Beebe-Center stated that it is clear in terms of the day in and day out, of the administration of the offices, that the administrator is in charge. She stated that it takes some time to be able to work together. She stated that she hoped that the subject can continue to be on the table and to determine what is best for the County in terms of what the relationships are going to be.

9. Approve Changing Due Date of Dispatch Fees for 2009.

County Administrator Andrew Hart stated that this was discussed at briefly at the last Commission meeting. Commissioner Lawrence Nash had asked about why dispatch fees were billed at a different time than taxes. There was nothing in the Commission meeting minutes that explains why the due date was different.

Commissioner Anne Beebe-Center asked Administrator Hart if he had spoken to Communications Director Linwood Lothrop.

County Administrator Andrew Hart stated that he had but that Director Linwood did not remember. He stated that it would be up to the Commission to decide whether or not to make the due dates the same. The towns would also need to be notified of the change.

Commissioner Anne Beebe-Center asked if it has been a pain for the towns to come up with the dispatch fees at a different time.

County Administrator Andrew Hart stated that if they were due at the same time as the taxes, it would give the towns more time to collect the money. It would be more money due at the one time, so that may be a problem for some towns. He added that he could talk to the towns to get their opinion on whether it would be better to change the Dispatch Fees due date or not.

Commissioner Anne Beebe-Center stated that the Commission could motion to change the due date and then discuss it with the towns.

Commissioner Mason Johnson stated that he thought that the towns should be consulted first and vote on the matter later.

Commissioner Lawrence Nash stated that he believed that the Commission had picked the September due date and that it is working well the way it is. He thought that it should not be changed. He stated that some towns have changed their tax due dates to make sure that they had the money to pay dispatch fees on time.

Commissioner Mason Johnson stated that he did not like the idea of having to charge interest to the towns because they could not pay dispatch fees on time. He stated that he would rather that they were able to pay on time rather than charge them interest.

- A motion was made by Commissioner Anne Beebe-Center to table changing the due date of dispatch fees until after the county administrator could speak with the towns. The motion was seconded by Commissioner Lawrence Nash. A vote was taken with all in favor.

### III. Discussion Items

#### 1. Mileage Reimbursement and the Knox County Personnel Policy.

Commissioner Lawrence Nash stated that he requested this issue be placed on the agenda. It had been brought up by the Budget Committee. He stated that he believed that Section 4.18 is confusing, in particular, first paragraph.

“Payment of travel expenses conducted in association with County business is allowed to and from the County seat by all County employees and officials for more than five miles from the County seat. Mileage reimbursement shall be reimbursed at the standard mileage rate established by the IRS, at least once annually. Travel reimbursement forms are available from the ~~Deputy County Administrator~~ Administrative office.”

Commissioner Lawrence Nash stated that he would like the paragraph to be edited like this:

“Payment of travel expenses conducted in association with County business is allowed ~~to and from the County seat by all County employees and officials for more than five miles from the County seat.~~ Mileage within the County is not reimbursable. Mileage to and from work and meetings is also not reimbursable. Mileage reimbursement shall be reimbursed at the standard mileage rate established by the IRS, at least once annually. Travel reimbursement forms are available from the ~~Deputy County Administrator~~ Administrative office.”

Commissioner Lawrence Nash stated that he believed this would make the subject clearer for employees. He asked if the other commissioners had any thoughts about it. He asked if this would be a policy change and asked how the procedure works.

County Administrator Andrew Hart stated that this section of the Personnel Policy was edited and revised by the personnel policy committee but the county administrator review of that document has been put on hold because the union contract negotiation has been ongoing. The two documents need to match, which is difficult to do until the union contract is finished.

Commissioner Lawrence Nash asked if these revisions would come before the new Commission in 2009.

County Administrator Andrew Hart stated that it would come before the Commission for final approval.

### IV. Adjourn

- A motion was made by Commissioner Anne Beebe-Center to adjourn the meeting. The motion was seconded by Commissioner Lawrence Nash. A vote was taken with all in favor.

The meeting adjourned at 10:00 a.m.

Respectfully submitted,

---

Candice Richards

**The Knox County Commission approved these minutes at their regular meeting held on January 13, 2009.**

---

Anne H. Beebe-Center, Chair – Commissioner District #1

---

Richard L. Parent, Jr. – Commissioner District #2

---

Roger A. Moody – Commissioner District #3